

A COMMERCIAL DREIBUND.

SCOPE OF THE PROPOSED TREATIES.

MEMORANDUM INCLUDED WITH GERMANY, AUSTRIA AND ITALY—SPAIN PREPARING A NEW TARIFF LIST.

Berlin, Dec. 7.—The treaties presented at the Reichstag to-day embraced the customs and navigation treaty with Austria, the customs and navigation treaty with Italy, and the customs treaty with Belgium. A memorandum accompanying the treaties says that a similar tariff has been arranged with Switzerland. All the amounts arranged for are payable in gold.

Under the new conventions the duties are fixed as follows per 100 kilos: On wheat and rye, 350 pfennigs; barley, 250 pfennigs; malt, 150 pfennigs; hops, 100 pfennigs; maize, 160 pfennigs; malt, 100 pfennigs; wine-must, 2,000 pfennigs; butter, 100 pfennigs; meat, 1,500 pfennigs; live swine, 100 pfennigs per head.

A comparison of the existing tariffs with the proposed ones shows the following reductions: Wheat and rye, 150 pfennigs per 100 kilos; oats, 100 pfennigs; barley, 25 pfennigs; malt, 40 pfennigs; butter and wine-must, 410 pfennigs; hops, 100 pfennigs; live pigs, 100 pfennigs per head. The Austrian tariff grants reductions as follows:

Woolen textiles and laces, 75 florins, per 100 kilos; whole silk, 100 florins; half-silk, 50 florins; iron and steel bars, not manufactured, 25%.

Paris, Dec. 7.—The body of Dom Pedro will be taken to-morrow to the Church of St. Madeleine, where it will be placed on a catafalque. The greater part of the troops of the Paris garrison will escort the funeral procession.

The "Eclair" to-day says it is authorized to deny the reports which have been circulated that it is the intention of the Countess D'Eu, the daughter and heir of Dom Pedro, to take action to assert her rights to the throne of Brazil.

London, Dec. 7.—The English court will go into mourning for one week for Dom Pedro.

Rio Janeiro, Dec. 7.—The monarchists in this city are disheartened by the death of Dom Pedro. The supporters of the Monarchical Idea will hold a public meeting in Rio de Janeiro on Wednesday to express publicly their grief at the death of the ex-Emperor.

A TERRIBLE STORM IN THE CHANNEL. TWENTY-FOUR LIVES LOST BY THE FOUNDERING OF A BOAT OFF THE FRENCH COAST.

London, Dec. 7.—A heavy gale has prevailed in the South of England and in the English Channel throughout the afternoon and evening. A repetition of the recent disasters is feared. It is reported that the Channel steamer Victoria struck the pier at the entrance of the harbor of Calais to-night and was unable to make the harbor. She was therefore obliged to put to sea again.

A terrible storm is raging all along the French coast. The herring smacks at Fecamp are in extreme danger. One has already foundered, and her crew of twenty-four persons were drowned. Anything like assistance to-night is impossible.

THE ST. ETIENNE DISASTER. SIX ENTOMBED MINERS ESCAPE—FIFTY-THREE BODIES RECOVERED—PRESIDENT CARNOT SENDS AID AND SYMPATHY.

Paris, Dec. 7.—Six miners, who were believed to be entombed in the St. Etienne mine, in which the explosion occurred yesterday, have reached the surface by a different shaft. Fifty-three bodies have been recovered from the pit last night.

The work was terribly hard, for the main galleries were completely blocked with rubbish, most of which had to be removed before the rescuers could get to the spot where most of the dead men had been at work. A large number of horses had been killed, either by the explosion or by the falling masses of stone, and they, too, had to be removed.

It has now been ascertained beyond all doubt that the disaster was due to the action of the manager of the mine, who ordered that the ventilating apparatus be stopped. He had received no authority from the engineer to give this order.

The calamity has touched the hearts of many besides the mourners in St. Etienne. President Carnot has sent a long telegram to the Prefect, expressing his deep regret for the disaster, and has sent Colonel de Chambré, one of his aides-de-camp, to convey his condolences to the wives and relatives of those who were killed and to distribute relief where it is needed.

NEARLY 200 LIVES LOST. DISASTER IN A COAL MINE IN RUSSIAN POLAND. St. Petersburg, Dec. 7.—A terrible catastrophe has occurred in a colliery at Nifka, in Russian Poland. No details have been received here, but it is conjectured that the accident was the result of an explosion of fire-damp. Hopes are expressed that the loss of life, which the first dispatch places at 180, is over-estimated.

MISS ST. JOHN'S SUIT FOR DIVORCE. London, Dec. 7.—The first witness called this morning in the St. John divorce case was Surgeon Cooper. He was called to support the charges of cruelty made by Miss St. John, and testified to certain bruises that she had received. Miss St. John was then called to resume her testimony. In response to the questions put to her by Mr. Gill, she said that she prepared the deed of separation between herself and her husband, but that the signing of the deed of separation fell through on the question of the custody of the child. Mr. Gill then resumed his questioning in regard to the relations between Miss St. John and Arthur Cohen. Miss St. John said that the most valuable present Cohen ever gave her was worth £20. She had received far more valuable presents from other persons. Justice Jeune here interrupted Mr. Gill, remarking that there was no need for the jury to be told that actresses received presents.

Mr. Gill dropped this line of inquiry after his rebuttal by Justice Jeune, and started again on the question of the husband's alleged cruelty and other matters. The witness said that during a serious illness from which she suffered at Brighton she was nursed by Cohen's sisters. She went to Monte Carlo with her husband's consent. He had never objected to her speaking to Cohen.

The next witness called was Mrs. Gregg, the mother of Miss St. John. Mrs. Gregg said she told Mrs. St. John that her husband was killing her daughter. The only reply he made to her was to advise her to mind her own business. She detailed with much vigor of expression how Mrs. Gregg admitted that her daughter Florence was well used by having her own way. When Florence was angry she showed it.

THE SMITHFIELD CATTLE SHOW. London, Dec. 7.—The Smithfield cattle show, now in progress, has fewer exhibitors than is usually the case. This is due to the fact that the Board of Agriculture has proclaimed London to be infected with pleuro-pneumonia. The proclamation orders that all cattle must be slaughtered within a week after they are imported. The Queen and the Prince of Wales have exhibited at the show. Her Majesty obtained the first prize for Devon heifers and the third prize for Devon steers. The Prince of Wales has received the first prize for Southdown sheep.

THE ITALIAN GOVERNMENT SUSTAINED. Rome, Dec. 7.—In the Chamber of Deputies to-day Signor Curioni presented a motion, signed by six deputies, expressing confidence in the Government, and designed to close the ecclesiastical debate. Signor Marinoni, the Government's foreign policy. Premier Rudini, interposing, said that he was ready at any time to accept a discussion on the Government's foreign policy. Signor Marinoni then turned to the Government's foreign policy, and asked what had become of the New-Orleans dispute, whether or not the Government could do anything to prevent the Italian flag from being hoisted at New Orleans. Finally, after several other members had spoken, the Chamber decided to close the debate, and Signor Curioni's motion was carried—248 to 92.

STATUTES OF PUBLIC MEN FOR MEXICO. Rome, Dec. 7.—The colossal statues of Hidalgo, the Mexican patriot, and President Juarez, of Mexico, are just being completed at the studio of the artist Canova, where they have been visited by great crowds

PEIXOTTO'S MANIFESTO.

FONSECA'S MINISTERS DENOUNCED.

POLICY OF THE NEW PRESIDENT OF BRAZIL—EVERYTHING QUIET IN RIO GRANDE DO SUL.

Rio Janeiro, Dec. 7.—President Peixotto today formally received the various representatives of foreign Governments accredited to Brazil, and he also received the Brazilian and other naval officers whose vessels are at present at Rio Janeiro.

The President has issued a manifesto, in which he declares that the members of Dictator Fonseca's Government are traitors to the Constitution. He adds that all his own efforts will be directed toward consolidating the Republic and advancing the material prospects of the country.

London, Dec. 7.—A dispatch was received here to-day from Rio Grande do Sul, saying that all signs of discontent have disappeared and that everything is quiet throughout the State. The Government, in view of the fact that Senator Castilho persisted in his refusal to accept again the Governorship of Rio Grande do Sul, which office he resigned at the beginning of the trouble in the State, has appointed Senator Osorio to the place. The appointment appears to give entire satisfaction, and it is believed that affairs in Rio Grande do Sul will now cause no further trouble to the General Government.

PLANS FOR DOM PEDRO'S FUNERAL.

THE COUNTESS D'EU AND THE BRAZILIAN THRONE.

Paris, Dec. 7.—The body of Dom Pedro will be taken to-morrow to the Church of St. Madeleine, where it will be placed on a catafalque. The greater part of the troops of the Paris garrison will escort the funeral procession.

The "Eclair" to-day says it is authorized to deny the reports which have been circulated that it is the intention of the Countess D'Eu, the daughter and heir of Dom Pedro, to take action to assert her rights to the throne of Brazil.

London, Dec. 7.—The English court will go into mourning for one week for Dom Pedro.

Rio Janeiro, Dec. 7.—The monarchists in this city are disheartened by the death of Dom Pedro. The supporters of the Monarchical Idea will hold a public meeting in Rio de Janeiro on Wednesday to express publicly their grief at the death of the ex-Emperor.

A PARIS CONTRACTOR FOUND IN CHICAGO.

Chicago, Dec. 7 (Special).—Jean Allouil, a wealthy Frenchman, whose mysterious disappearance caused a stir in Paris, was found to-day in Chicago by a reporter. He is working as a model-maker at the World's Fair grounds at 83 a day. Jean Allouil was, two months ago, one of the best known building contractors of Paris. He was reputed to be wealthy. He left his business with a note for the city. He left his home on November 5 for a day's trip to a village close to Paris and did not return. He said to-day: "I had to leave Paris to preserve my mental health. I was a building contractor. To my mind was awarded the contract for building and furnishing with heating apparatus one of the big public schools now being erected in Paris. In making my calculations I relied on the data given me by the architect. After getting the work well under way I found out that I was losing a mistake or misreading of the plan. I was losing 1,000 francs a week, and I was giving way under the strain. I took a short vacation, but the losses went on. I could have had all the money I wanted by appealing to my rich relatives, but I would not do this. I decided to abandon the whole business, come to America while my mind was safe and begin anew. My family is in good circumstances and will not suffer by my failure. I am doing now the same work at which I began my career in Paris thirty-five years ago. I shall not go back to France for the present at least. I think I can get into business here and make another fortune."

M. Allouil is a short, stout, middle-aged man with a reddish mustache. He is particularly skilled in designing and modelling ornamental plaster-work, and his craft is being utilized in the preparation of the ornate staff-work for the World's Fair buildings.

A DECISION ON GRAIN GAMBLING.

Chicago, Dec. 7 (Special).—A peculiar decision on the subject of Board of Trade gambling was rendered to-day by Judge Moran in the suit of Gertrude S. Talcott against the commission firm of Elder, McKinney and Talcott. This was a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the person or persons to whom they were advanced. It is a bill filed to obtain an injunction at law on a promissory note for \$2,000 made by complainant against the defendants, and to recover \$2,131 paid by Mrs. Talcott to the brokers. She held that the note had been given and the money paid to indemnify and recompense the defendants for losses incurred by her to them in wagering or gambling contracts made for the purchase and sale of grain. It was understood between them that the dealing should be in differences and that no grain should be received or delivered on such contracts. In the court below the judge found in favor of the plaintiff, and the finding was given in a gambling case. Then Judge Talcott entered a decree compelling the firm to refund the money paid to her, holding that the law court had declared the transaction a gambling one and he could not go into that question. In affirming the decision of the court below, Judge Moran says: "We did not intend to hold that margins advanced to brokers on contracts made to be settled on differences could not be recovered back from the